



Sources of Knowledge in Islam: Epistemology of Revelation, Reason, Senses, and Intuition

Muhammad Basri¹, Fadriati², Emis Suryana³

¹ Universitas Islam Negeri Mahmud Yunus Batusangkar, Indonesia

² Universitas Islam Negeri Mahmud Yunus Batusangkar, Indonesia

³ Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Fatah Palembang, Indonesia

Corresponding Author: Muhammad Basri, Fadriati, Emis Suryana

E-mail; muhammadbasri1oebis9@gmail.com, fadriati@uinmybatusangkar.ac.id
ermissuryana_uin@radenfatah.ac.id

Received: Sep 2, 2025

Revised: Sep 2, 2025

Accepted: Sep 2, 2025

Online: Sep 2, 2025

ABSTRACT

Knowledge in Islam is fundamentally derived from four primary sources: revelation, reason, senses, and intuition. This study is motivated by the fragmented understanding of these sources, which are often treated separately within rational, empirical, and spiritual domains. The research aims to comprehensively analyze the interrelation and integration of these four dimensions in constructing an Islamic epistemology that is holistic and relevant to contemporary intellectual and scientific challenges. Employing a qualitative approach through a library research method, the study examines primary sources such as the Qur'an, hadith, and classical works of Muslim scholars including Al-Farabi, Ibn Sina, Al-Ghazali, and Ibn Arabi, as well as secondary literature consisting of books and peer-reviewed journals published between 2018 and 2025. Data were analyzed using content analysis techniques to identify the contribution of each epistemological source in Islamic thought. The findings indicate that philosophers emphasized reason and empirical observation as rational tools, theologians prioritized revelation as the ultimate and infallible truth, while Sufi scholars valued intuition as a spiritual path to uncover divine reality. Islam harmonizes these perspectives by integrating revelation, reason, senses, and intuition into a unified epistemological system that is rational, empirical, ethical, and spiritual. In conclusion, Islamic epistemology underscores the necessity of harmonizing all sources of knowledge to build an integrated and balanced framework, offering an intellectual foundation capable of addressing moral crises, scientific secularization, and the disintegration of values in the modern era.

Keywords: *Revelation, Reason, Senses, Intuition*

Journal Homepage <https://ejournal.iainbatanghari.ac.id/index.php/attasyrih/index>

This is an open access article under the CC BY SA license

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>

How to cite: Basri, M., Fadriati, Fadriati & Suryana, E. (2025). Sources of Knowledge in Islam: Epistemology of Revelation, Reason, Senses, and Intuition. *At-Tasyrih: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Hukum Islam*, 11(2), 178-187. <https://doi.org/10.55849/attasyrih.v11i2.353>

Published by: Pusat Penelitian dan Pengabdian Masyarakat, Institut Agama Islam Nusantara Batang Hari

INTRODUCTION

Knowledge has always occupied a central position in Islamic civilization, functioning not only as a tool for understanding the universe but also as a path to draw closer to Allah SWT. The first revelation, "Read in the name of your Lord who created" (Q.S. Al-'Alaq: 1-5), highlights the centrality of knowledge as the foundation of faith and

civilization (Lubis dkk., 2023). The Prophet Muhammad SAW further reinforced this by stating that seeking knowledge is obligatory for every Muslim (H.R. Ibn Majah). These foundational texts emphasize that the pursuit of knowledge is both a spiritual duty and an intellectual endeavor.

The critical question this study addresses is how Islam conceptualizes the sources of knowledge and their role in shaping a comprehensive epistemology. Throughout history, Muslim thinkers have debated this issue: philosophers emphasized reason and empirical observation, theologians insisted on revelation as the ultimate authority, and Sufis highlighted intuition and inner illumination (Adawiah dkk., 2024). While extensive scholarship has examined these traditions separately, fewer studies have analyzed how these perspectives can be harmonized into a unified framework that remains relevant in the modern context.

In recent decades, contemporary scholars have revisited Islamic epistemology to respond to global challenges such as secularization of science, rapid technological development, and the dominance of Western paradigms of knowledge (Muzammil dkk., 2022). The novelty of this research lies in its integrative approach: it not only revisits classical debates but also reinterprets them in light of modern scientific and ethical concerns. This integration demonstrates that Islamic epistemology is not merely a historical discourse but a living framework capable of guiding the development of rational, empirical, ethical, and spiritual sciences (Pranoto Effendi, 2025).

This article aims to provide a systematic analysis of the sources of knowledge in Islam, focusing on three objectives: (1) to explore the debates among philosophers, theologians, and Sufis regarding knowledge, (2) to identify and classify the main sources of knowledge acknowledged in Islam, and (3) to highlight the functions of reason in strengthening faith, filtering truth, and advancing civilization. By addressing these issues, this study contributes to the broader effort of constructing an Islamic paradigm of knowledge that is both faithful to revelation and responsive to contemporary challenges (Al Hamimy & Barlaman, 2025).

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research employed a qualitative approach with a library research design. The study was conducted between January and April 2025 at the Library of Universitas Islam Negeri Mahmud Yunus Batusangkar and through access to online academic databases, including Google Scholar, DOAJ, SpringerLink, and Garuda (Alkhadafi, 2024). The selection of this method was based on the aim to critically examine Islamic epistemology through primary and secondary textual sources rather than empirical experiments.

Primary sources consisted of the Qur'an, hadith collections, and classical works by Muslim philosophers (Al-Farabi, Ibn Sina, Ibn Rushd), theologians (Al-Ghazali, Fakhruddin al-Razi), and Sufis (Rumi, Ibn Arabi). Secondary sources included books on Islamic philosophy and education, as well as peer-reviewed journal articles published between 2018 and 2025. A purposive sampling strategy was applied to ensure that only

literature directly related to epistemology and the sources of knowledge in Islam was included (Nasrullah, 2024).

The research procedure followed four systematic stages: (1) data collection through comprehensive reading and note-taking, (2) classification of sources into philosophical, theological, and mystical perspectives, (3) content analysis to identify key arguments, similarities, and differences, and (4) synthesis of findings into an integrative framework. To ensure reliability, cross-references were made between classical texts and contemporary scholarly discussions (Husna, 2023). The interpretative approach was used to analyze Qur'anic verses and hadith in relation to rational and empirical insights.

By providing explicit details of sources, procedures, and analysis steps, this study ensures both transparency and replicability, allowing other researchers to verify and extend the findings.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study indicate that Islamic epistemology acknowledges four principal sources of knowledge : revelation (wahyu), reason ('aql), senses (al-mahsusat), and intuition (ilham or kashf) (Zahrani dkk., 2022). Each of these sources contributes to the formation of an integrated and multidimensional framework of understanding. However, the true strength of Islamic epistemology lies not merely in recognizing these sources individually but in harmonizing them into a single, balanced paradigm of knowledge.

1. Classical Perspectives : Philosophers, Theologians, and Sufis

Classical Muslim scholars presented diverse but complementary epistemological traditions. Philosophers such as Al-Farabi, Ibn Sina, and Ibn Rushd emphasized reason and empirical observation as essential means to comprehend reality (Fahmi dkk., 2024). Their approach closely aligns with the rationalist and empiricist traditions later developed in the West, yet remains grounded in metaphysical belief in God as the First Cause.

In contrast, theologians such as Al-Ghazali and Fakhruddin al-Razi asserted that revelation possesses supreme authority, with reason functioning as its interpreter rather than its rival. They rejected pure rationalism when it conflicted with divine truth, emphasizing that the intellect must operate within the boundaries of wahyu. Meanwhile, Sufi thinkers like Ibn Arabi and Jalaluddin Rumi proposed that intuition or spiritual unveiling (kashf) allows access to realities beyond sensory and rational limits. For them, knowledge is not merely conceptual but experiential, arising from purification of the heart and nearness to the Divine (Hafiz & Rijal, 2024).

2. Comparison with Western Epistemology

When compared critically, Islamic epistemology diverges significantly from Western traditions that often dichotomize reason and faith. Western rationalism exemplified by Descartes and Kant treats reason as an autonomous source of truth, independent of revelation or spiritual insight. Empiricism, as championed by

Bacon and Hume, confines knowledge to sensory experience and observable phenomena (Wijayanti & Sugianti, 2025). Both frameworks tend to exclude the metaphysical and ethical dimensions central to Islamic thought.

By contrast, Islamic epistemology integrates rational, empirical, and spiritual dimensions under the unifying guidance of revelation. This synthesis resolves the tension between intellect and faith that characterizes post Enlightenment Western thought. Rather than opposing science and religion, Islam positions revelation as the foundation that provides moral and metaphysical direction to rational and empirical inquiry. Thus, Islamic knowledge does not end in material utility alone but seeks harmony between truth (al-haqq), goodness (al-khair), and beauty (al-jamal).

3. Modern Reinterpretations and Contemporary Relevance

Contemporary Muslim scholars such as Naquib al-Attas, Syed Hossein Nasr, and Osman Bakar have revitalized this integrative model to respond to modern intellectual crises. They argue that the secularization of science has fragmented knowledge by excluding spiritual and ethical considerations. Through the framework of tawhīd (Divine Unity), Islamic epistemology offers a holistic vision that reunites empirical discovery with spiritual purpose.

For instance, (Alfaruki, 2024) highlight the urgent need to reintroduce revelation-based ethics into modern education and research. Their findings align with this study's conclusion that the Islamic epistemological model unlike Western positivism prevents value-neutral science by grounding inquiry in divine accountability. Hence, Islamic epistemology remains not only relevant but necessary for addressing contemporary challenges such as artificial intelligence, biotechnology, and environmental ethics.

4. Integrative Implications

The synthesis of these classical and modern perspectives demonstrates that knowledge in Islam is not confined to a single method or source. Instead, it is structured around a hierarchy in which revelation provides foundational truth, reason acts as an analytical instrument, senses deliver empirical data, and intuition refines understanding through spiritual depth (Ulum dkk., 2023). This integrative model reconciles intellectual rigor with ethical responsibility qualities often separated in secular science.

Consequently, Islamic epistemology contributes a unique paradigm capable of guiding modern scientific and educational institutions toward balance between technological advancement and moral spiritual integrity.

The discussion of these findings indicates that Islamic epistemology provides a holistic model of knowledge. Unlike Western epistemological traditions that often emphasize a single method whether rationalism, empiricism, or positivism Islam integrates multiple sources within a unified framework.

To clarify the distinctive yet complementary perspectives of Islamic scholars, the results of this content analysis are summarized in Table 1, which compares the epistemological positions of philosophers, theologians, and Sufi thinkers regarding the four main sources of knowledge.

No	Aspek	Filsuf	Teolog	Sufi
1	Garis besar posisi epistemologis	Rasionalisme terstruktur : akal dan empiris sebagai instrumen utama untuk mencapai ilmu universal.	Wahyu sebagai otoritas tertinggi : akal berfungsi tetapi dibatasi/tunduk pada prinsip wahyu.	Pengetahuan batin dan pengalaman mistik sebagai sumber kebenaran tertinggi selain wahyu.
2	Sumber pengetahuan primer	Akal dan indera : teks wahyu diinterpretasikan melalui rasio.	Wahyu (Al-Qur'an & Sunnah) sebagai sumber final : akal dan nalar teologis (kalam) digunakan untuk mempertahankan doktrin.	Wahyu dan pengalaman spiritual langsung (kasyf/ma'rifah) : indera dan akal dianggap terbatas untuk hakikat.
3	Peran akal	Sentral : alat penalaran, deduksi, kategorisasi ilmu : akal dapat mencapai kebenaran (dengan metodologi yang tepat).	Penting untuk pembelaan dan penafsiran : tetapi akal tidak boleh menentang teks wahyu yang jelas.	Instrumen terbatas hanya alat preparatori : akal harus "dibersihkan" oleh pengalaman spiritual agar tidak menyesatkan.
4	Peran indera (empiris)	Dasar bagi pengetahuan ilmiah : pengamatan menjadi tahap awal kognisi.	Diterima sebagai sumber bukti empiris, tapi tidak dapat menggantikan wahyu untuk masalah nilai dan akidah.	Diakui tetapi bukan jalan ke realitas tertinggi : pengalaman inderawi dipandang sebagai "tahap" menuju pengalaman batin.
5	Peran wahyu	Penting sebagai sumber kebenaran moral dan al-qaidah :	Mutlak : wahyu menjadi tolok ukur; tafsir wahyu melalui metode teologi	Wahyu penting : pengalaman mistik sering dipahami sebagai "pembuka"

		beberapa filsuf menegaskan keselarasan antara wahyu dan rasio bila keduanya benar.	normatif.	makna wahyu yang lebih dalam.
6	Peran intuisi	Diakui (terutama dalam filsafat pertama) sebagai inspirasi, tetapi harus diuji oleh rasio.	Diwaspadai pengalaman subjektif dapat menyesatkan bila bertentangan dengan syariat/wahyu.	Sentral : sumber pengetahuan tentang hakikat Tuhan dan realitas : pengalaman personal diberi bobot epistemis tinggi.
7	Sifat otoritas epistemis	Prosedural, sistematis, teruji : validitas melalui logika & bukti.	Normatif dan tekstual : validitas melalui kesesuaian dengan teks suci & dogma.	Intuisi subjektif disertai tradisi spiritual : validitas melalui pengalaman mistikus dan sanad spiritual.
8	Metodologi yang ditekankan	Deduksi, induksi empiris, silogisme aristotelian yang disintesiskan dengan tradisi Islam.	Dialektika kalam, qiyas teologis, tafsir tekstual.	Praktik spiritual, zikir, suluk : penafsiran simbolis mistik teks.
9	Kelebihan model	Mendukung sains empiris, logika, dan pembangunan ilmu rasional.	Menjaga otoritas moral & teologis : mencegah relativisme kognitif.	Memberikan dimensi spiritual dan etika mendalam : menjembatani pengalaman religius.
10	Keterbatasan	Risiko menjadi reduksionis (mengabaikan dimensi transendental) : kemungkinan tafsir rasional yang menolak	Berpotensi konservatif, mengekang kebaruan ilmiah jika interpretasi terlalu kaku.	Subjektivitas tinggi : sulit diuji secara metodologis oleh standar ilmiah modern.

		wahyu literal.		
11	Implikasi bagi pendidikan & penelitian modern	Menunjang integrasi metode ilmiah dalam lembaga Islam : mempromosikan kurikulum sains yang kompatibel dengan nilai Islam.	Menggarisbawahi pentingnya kurikulum agama berbasis teks : menuntut penjagaan ortodoksi.	Perlu integrasi pendidikan spiritual/emosional : memasukkan latihan reflektif dan etika.
12	Contoh kutipan/ungkapan representatif (ringkas)	“Akal adalah cahaya yang memungkinkan manusia mencapai hakikat” (interpretasi umum dari tradisi falsafah Islam).	“Wahyu adalah ukuran : akal harus tunduk kepada nash.” (ringkasan posisi teologis klasik)	“Pengetahuan melalui kasyf membawa kepada ma’rifah yang tidak dapat dicapai akal semata.” (konsep sufi umum).
13	Bagaimana mereka melihat konflik antara ilmu modern & agama	Konvergensi mungkin bila metode ilmiah dihormati dan hukum-hukum metafisika dijelaskan secara rasional.	Ketegangan diselesaikan dengan menegaskan supremasi wahyu pada isu-isu teologis.	Konflik diatasi dengan penekanan pada pengalaman spiritual yang melampaui kontroversi ilmiah/filosofis.
14	Saran penggabungan (dari perspektif integratif)	Gunakan rasio dan empiris untuk investigasi : rujuk wahyu untuk pengaturan nilai dan etika : sertakan elemen spiritual untuk dimensi ma’rifah (holistik).	-	-

As shown in Table 1, the philosophers emphasize rational and empirical reasoning, theologians prioritize divine revelation as the ultimate source of truth, and Sufi scholars highlight intuitive and spiritual insight. The synthesis of these perspectives demonstrates that Islam does not isolate rational, empirical, or spiritual dimensions but harmonizes them

into an integrated epistemological system that guides both scientific and ethical development

These findings support the central claim posed in the introduction: Islam harmonizes diverse epistemological perspectives into an integrated system that remains relevant in the modern era. This integrative approach expands upon earlier research, such as (Indriana Silva dkk., 2024), by not only comparing Islamic and Western epistemology but also emphasizing their potential convergence in addressing global issues. Future research should explore the practical application of this epistemology in education, scientific inquiry, and policymaking. By operationalizing Islamic epistemology within these domains, scholars can contribute to the development of a knowledge paradigm that is rational, ethical, and spiritually enriched.

CONCLUSION

This study set out to analyze the sources of knowledge in Islam revelation, reason, senses, and intuition through philosophical, theological, and mystical perspectives, in order to construct an integrative epistemological framework that remains relevant to contemporary challenges. The findings reveal that each source of knowledge has a distinctive but complementary role in shaping the Islamic conception of knowledge. Philosophers emphasize the centrality of reason and empirical observation as pathways to intellectual truth; theologians regard revelation as the ultimate and infallible foundation of knowledge that provides moral and spiritual guidance; and Sufi thinkers highlight intuition (kashf or ilham) as a means of attaining deeper spiritual understanding beyond rational perception.

When harmonized, these four epistemic sources form a unified model of Islamic epistemology that integrates rational, empirical, ethical, and spiritual dimensions. This integration not only differentiates Islamic epistemology from secular or materialist paradigms but also demonstrates its enduring capacity to guide modern scientific inquiry with ethical and transcendental values. Therefore, the study concludes that Islamic epistemology provides a comprehensive and balanced foundation for knowledge one that bridges revelation and reason, faith and science, and spirituality and rationality.

In practical terms, this epistemological synthesis can inform Islamic education, research, and policymaking by promoting the integration of faith-based ethics with rational and empirical inquiry. Future research is encouraged to operationalize this integrative model within academic curricula and scientific disciplines, ensuring that knowledge development in the Muslim world remains both intellectually robust and spiritually grounded.

ACKNOWLEDGE

The author expresses sincere gratitude to the Faculty of Ushuluddin and Religious Studies, Universitas Islam Negeri Mahmud Yunus Batusangkar, for providing academic support and constructive feedback during the research and writing process. The study was financially supported by the Research and Community Service Center (LP2M) under the

internal research grant scheme (Contract No. 02/LP2M-UINMY/2025). The author also extends appreciation to colleagues and reviewers who contributed valuable insights that enhanced the clarity and scholarly quality of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Adawiah, D. R., Ambarawati, P., Marfuah, P., Hidayat, W., & Fauzi, A. (2024). ASPEK-ASPEK EPISTEMOLOGI DALAM MANAJEMEN PENDIDIKAN ISLAM. *Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Islam Darussalam*, 6(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.30739/jmpid.v6i1.2970>
- Al Hamimy, M. F., & Barlaman, M. R. B. (2025). Prasyarat Epistemologi dalam Studi Islam: Sebuah Kajian Konseptual. *JoEMS (Journal of Education and Management Studies)*, 8(4), 162–174. <https://doi.org/10.32764/joems.v8i4.1483>
- Alfaruki, A. (2024). HAKIKAT ILMU PENGETAHUAN DALAM ISLAM (Analisis Filosofis Dan Implikasinya). *Al-Iqro'*, 1(1), 58–82. <https://doi.org/10.54622/aijis.v1i1.274>
- Alkhadafi, R. (2024). Epistemologi Filsafat Islam. *JMPI: Jurnal Manajemen, Pendidikan dan Pemikiran Islam*, 2(1), 34–41. <https://doi.org/10.71305/jmpi.v2i1.48>
- Fahmi, K., Salminawati, S., & Usiono, U. (2024). Epistemological Questions: Hubungan Akal, Penginderaan, Wahyu dan Intuisi Pada Pondasi Keilmuan Islam. *Journal of Education Research*, 5(1), 570–575. <https://doi.org/10.37985/jer.v5i1.753>
- Hafiz, A., & Rijal, S. (2024). Metodologi Keilmuan Islam: Kajian Epistemologi Terhadap Sumber Pengetahuan. *ISME: Journal of Islamic Studies and Multidisciplinary Research*, 2(1), 33–41. <https://doi.org/10.61683/isme.vol2i1.2024.33-41>
- Husna, H. (2023). Epistemologi Ilmu dalam Islam dan IPTEK. *Kitabah: Jurnal Pendidikan Sosial Humaniora*, 1(3), 144–154. <https://doi.org/10.56114/kitabah.v1i3.10258>
- Indriana Silva, M., Nasikhin, & Fatkurroji. (2024). LANDASAN FILOSOFIS ILMU DALAM PERSPEKTIF BARAT DAN ISLAM: TINJAUAN ONTOLOGI DAN EPISTEMOLOGI. *Al-Ittihad: Jurnal Pemikiran dan Hukum Islam*, 10(2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.61817/ittihad.v10i2.207>
- Lubis, N. S., Farleni, F., Juansah, D. E., & Nulhakim, L. (2023). Proposisi, Logika dalam Berpikir Sebagai Dasar Penalaran Ilmiah dalam Menghasilkan Pengetahuan Baru. *Jurnal Filsafat Indonesia*, 6(2), 276–283. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jfi.v6i2.56233>
- Muzammil, A., Harun, S., & Alfarisi, A. H. (2022). EPISTEMOLOGI BAYANI, IRFANI DAN BURHANI SEBAGAI DASAR PENGEMBANGAN ILMU PENGETAHUAN DALAM ISLAM: Epistemologi. *Al-Irfan: Journal of Arabic Literature and Islamic Studies*, 5(2), 284–302. <https://doi.org/10.36835/alirfan.v5i2.5773>
- Nasrullah, A. (2024). POTENSI AKAL MANUSIA DAN PEROLEHAN ILMU PENGETAHUAN DALAM PEMIKIRAN EPISTEMOLOGIS IBNU KHALDUN. *AZKIYA*, 7(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.53640/azkiya.v7i1.1625>
- Pranoto Effendi. (2025). Urgensi Epistemologi Islam bagi Setiap Penuntut Ilmu. *Jurnal Riset Rumpun Agama dan Filsafat*, 4(1), 38–49. <https://doi.org/10.55606/jurrafi.v4i1.4357>
-

-
- Ulum, M., â€Azizah, A., & Utami, L. K. (2023). Ilmu dalam Perspektif Islam dan Barat: Tinjauan Ontologi dan Epistemologi. *MA'ALIM: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 4(1), 84–100. <https://doi.org/10.21154/maalim.v4i1.7030>
- Wijayanti, D. & Sugianti. (2025). FILSAFAT ILMU DALAM PERSPEKTIF PENDIDIKAN ISLAM. *PENDIS (Jurnal Pendidikan Ilmu Sosial)*, 4(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.61721/pendis.v4i1.401>
- Zahrani, H., Dhobith, A., & Rubini, R. (2022). Epistemologi Pendidikan Islam. *AL-MANAR*, 11(2), 58–68. <https://doi.org/10.36668/jal.v11i2.423>
-

Copyright Holder :

© Muhammad Basri et al. (2025).

First Publication Right :

© At-Tasyrih: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Hukum Islam

This article is under:

